FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

Agency:	Utah State Office of l	Education	Bill Num	ber	HB240	
	Ben Leishman					
	Requested By					
			Fa	ax/Electronic M	ail Transmittal	
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst			Date:	Date: December 23, 2008		
W310 State Capitol Complex			-	,		
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310			Name:	Name: Ben Leishman		
538-1034 / Fa	ıx 538-1692					
				ber:		
Please return	to Fiscal Analyst by:	December 28, 2008				
TITLE OF B	ILL: PUBLIC EDUCA	ATION FUNDING - BI	LOCK GRANT	AMENDMENT	S by Rep. Sheryl L. Allen	
This Bill Take	es Effect: On Passa	age X On Jul	v 1 60 D	ays after session	Other	
	<u> </u>		y 100 D	ays after session	other	
Bill Carries O	wn Appropriation:]				
	FISCA	AL IMPACT OF PRO	POSED LEGIS	SLATION		
A Revenue I	mpact by Source of Fund	le•	First	Year	Second Year	
1. General Fu						
2. Uniform Sc	chool Fund - Free Revenue					
3. Transportat	tion Fund					
4. Collections						
5. Other Fund	ls (List Below)					
6 Local Funds	S					
7. TOTAL				\$0	\$0	
R Evnenditu	re Impact by Source of F	`unde•				
1. General Fu		unus.				
	chool Fund - Free Revenue			\$11,372,119	\$12,509,331	
3. Transportat				, , , ,	7 7-	
4. Collections						
5. Other Fund	ls (List Below)					
	,					
6 Local Funds	3					
7. TOTAL				\$11,372,100	\$12,509,300	
C Evnanditu	re Impact Summary:					
	ages and Benefits					
2. Travel	ages and Denemes					
3. Current Exp	 penses		1			
4. Capital Out			1			
	cify) Block Grant Expendi	itures	1	\$11,372,119	\$12,509,331	
6. TOTAL	y, = 11 orani Emporidi		1	\$11,372,100	\$12,509,300	
	Entono Vocas			+	+,- ** ,- **	
	Future Years?					
	ipact in first two years, ind				olain. Also, indicate any	
significani ch	anges in fiscal impact beyo	ma ine jirsi iwo years.(ose vack stae, tj	necessary.)		
0.4 5 11	Man no de	1D : # 6	* 1* .	F20 F65	D 1 00 000	
Cathy Dudley Prepared		and Property Tax Spe	cialist gency USOF	538-7667 E Phone No.	December 23, 2007 Date	
i icpaicu	עם 1111	.c	Schey OBOL	_ I HOHE INO.	Date	

Agency

Bill Title: PUBLIC EDUCATION FUNDING-BLOCK GRANT AMENDMEN

Bill Number:

HB240

E. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

Lines 39-41, 61-63, and 85-87 indicate a cost increase to the various block grant funding programs.

F. Expenditure Impact Details (*Ties to totals in Section C*)

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

The bill indicates an increase to the Quality Teaching Block Grant, Local Discretionary Block Grant, and the Interventions for Student Success Block Grant comparable to the percentage increase in the value of the weighted pupil unit. The Utah State Office of Education has requested an increase in the value of the WPU for FY09 by 10%. If the block grants were increased by that same percentage for FY09 and FY10, the increase for the Quality Teaching block grant would be \$7,394,783 (FY09) and \$8,134,261 (FY10). For the Local Discretionary Block grant the increase would be \$2,182,075 (FY09) and \$2,400,282 (FY10). The Interventions for Student Success Block grant would be \$1,795,261 (FY09) and \$1,974,787 (FY10). The totals would be \$11,372,119 for FY09 and \$12,509,331 for FY10. The calculations are shown on the attached sheet.

G. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

H. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill. Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

I. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.) Local School Districts/Charter Schools:

The increases in these block grants would enable school districts and charter schools to continue (and improve) their services to the students.

Businesses and Associations:

Individuals:

The increases specified in this legislation for the block grants would benefit students.

Narrative Description of Bill:

This bill increases the Quality Teaching Block Grant, the Local Discretionary Block Grant, and the Interventions for Student Success Block Grant every year, subject to budget constraints, by the same percentage increase in the value of the weighted pupil unit (WPU).

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.